

Art Unit: 2616

- JG 1.11.07
- JG 1.11.07
- In line <sup>14</sup>~~13~~ of claim 26, "second packet according to" has been corrected to -- second packet, using at least one pitch period associated with a pitch of the second packet, according to --.
  - In line <sup>12</sup>~~13~~ of claim 27, "second packet based on" has been corrected to -- second packet, using at least one pitch period associated with a pitch of the second packet, based on --.
  - In line 1 of claim 29, "said play time" has been corrected to -- said play time of said first packet --.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claim 1, the subject matter is allowable as the prior of record does not disclose the limitation of "adjusting the length of the second packet, using at least one pitch period associated with a pitch of the second packet, according to the adjusted length of the first packet and an arrival time of a third packet received after the second packet" in combination with all the other limitations of this claim. Similarly, regarding claims 13, 25, 26, and 27, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the analogous limitation regarding adjusting the length or play time of the second packet in combination with all the other limitations of these claims.

Claims 2-12, 14-24, and 28-35 are allowable as they depend from allowable claims 1, 13, and 27.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

09/829,314

Application/Control Number: 09/829,314

Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

### EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Eamon J. Wall (Reg. No. 39,414) and Michael Bentley (Reg. No. 52,613) on December 5, 2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

- In line <sup>14</sup>~~15~~ of claim 1, "second packet according to" has been corrected to -- second packet, using at least one pitch period associated with a pitch of the second packet, according to --.
- In line 1 of claim 2, "said adjusting" has been corrected to -- said adjusting said length of said first packet --.
- In lines 12-13 of claim 13, "second packet according to" has been corrected to -- second packet, using at least one pitch period associated with a pitch of the second packet, according to --.
- In lines 1-2 of claim 14, "said adjusting" has been corrected to -- said adjusting said length of said first packet --.
- In line <sup>16</sup>~~17~~ of claim 25, "second packet according to" has been corrected to -- second packet, using at least one pitch period associated with a pitch of the second packet, according to --.